		United	STATES DISTRICT COURT	FILED (ID)	
			District of Nebra	U.S. DISTRICT COURT I SKRIS TRICT OF MEDRAS	
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION	2010 AUG -9 PM 4: 10	
		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION	PENDING TRIAL	
		TENERIC LATRELL SAVAGE Defendant	Case Number: 4:10CR3079	OFFICE OF THE CLER	
det	In a entio	*	8. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that	the following facts require the	
Part I—Findings of Fact					
	(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
				.*	
	(3)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state. The offense described in finding (1) was come. A period of not more than five years has elaps for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebu	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a fe	ederal, state or local offense. Indant from imprisonment Indian will reasonably assure the	
	Alternative Findings (A)				
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the def			
			ent of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801	et seq	
X	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
Alternative Findings (B)					
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.					
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention					
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by \(\overline{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}					
derance of the evidence that					
The of the freely, woluntarily and firmularly souved his sight to					
by dailing to consolice with any delical Dr Alice as tolered anti not					
tollowing the lawfeel or dury of the marshal upon arrest on the					
fetition. These falts, along with the fact that a cannot live with his 30					
party rustodian (grandmithet) good a rust of flight and langer to the					
rea: Go	the ex sonal vernr	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Att xtent practicable, from persons awaiting or ser ble opportunity for private consultation with de	HI—Directions Regarding Detention torney General or his designated representative for confinement in the series of being held in custody pending appeal. The efense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or or cility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for	e defendant shall be afforded a n request of an attorney for the	
		August 9, 2010	s/ Cheryl R. Zwart		
August 9, 2010 Date			Signature of Judicial Officer		
			Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Jud	Se .	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).